U.S. Application No. 10/603,346

Supplemental Amendment Dated November 8, 2005

Reply to Office Action of September 6, 2005

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

Applicant submitted an Amendment on October 27, 2005 in this application. As a result

of an informality in the Amendment wherein the Remarks did not start on a new page, Applicant

wishes to substitute this Amendment in its place.

In the Office Action of September 6, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 31-110 over the

cited prior art. In response thereto, Applicant substitutes claims 111-166.

The newly presented claims distinguish over the art of record cited by the Examiner by

reciting a method for billing for a purchase transaction over the Internet between a purchasing

customer and a selling vendor by a telephone service provider which is not the selling vendor.

None of the references teach the method by the telephone service provider of providing access to

the Internet for the purchasing customer and charging the purchasing customer a transaction

amount for the purchase transaction by charging the transaction amount to an account of the

purchasing customer.

Please charge any insufficiency of fees or credit any excess to our Deposit Account No.

50-3024.

Applicant requests reconsideration and an early favorable action on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

November 8, 2005

g. No. 26,802

Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. 875 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

Telephone: (212) 918-3000

Page 16 of 16